SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES NO. 141, ORIGINAL

STATE OF TEXAS,

Plaintiff,

VS.

VOLUME VII

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

AND STATE OF COLORADO,

Defendants.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

The above-entitled matter came on for HEARING before HONORABLE MICHAEL A. MELLOY, SPECIAL MASTER, held REMOTELY via Zoom, on OCTOBER 13, 2021, commencing at 11:00 a.m.;

Proceedings reported by Certified Shorthand Reporter and Machine Shorthand/Computer-Aided Transcription.

1	REMOTE APPEARANCES
2	
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFF STATE OF TEXAS:
4	Ms. Theresa C. Barfield
	SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN, PC
5	500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1000
_	Sacramento, California 95814
6	(916) 446-7979
7	tbarfield@somachlaw.com
8	EOD THE DECEMBANT CTATE OF NEW MEYTOO.
9	FOR THE DEFENDANT STATE OF NEW MEXICO: Mr. Michael A. Kopp
	TROUT RALEY
10	1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 1600
	Denver, Colorado 80203
11	(303) 861-1963
	mkopp@troutlaw.com
12	
13	FOR THE DEFENDANT STATE OF COLORADO:
14	Mr. Chad Wallace
	COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF LAW
15	1300 Broadway, 7th Floor
1.0	Denver, Colorado 80203
16	(720) 508-6281
17	chad.wallace@coag.gov
18	FOR THE UNITED STATES:
19	Mr. James J. Dubois
	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
20	999 18th Street, Suite 370
	Denver, Colorado 80202
21	(303) 844-1375
	james.dubois@usdoj.gov
22	
23	
24	
25	

		Page 3
1	INDEX	
2		D = ===
4	JOHN BALLIEW	Page
	Further Cross-Examination by Mr. Kopp	5
5	Redirect Examination by Ms. Barfield	37
6	realises blanchaster of the barriera	<i>3 ,</i>
7		
	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION	44
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
24		
25		

1	JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Shall we get
2	started? Again, this is in United States Supreme
3	Court Original No. 141, Texas versus New Mexico and
4	Colorado. Let me ask the parties who will be
5	appearing for the continued cross-examination of
6	Mr. Balliew to enter their appearance. For Texas?
7	MS. BARFIELD: Good morning, Your Honor.
8	This is Theresa Barfield on behalf of Texas.
9	JUDGE MELLOY: And for New Mexico?
10	MR. KOPP: Good morning, Your Honor.
11	This is Michael Kopp for the State of New Mexico.
12	JUDGE MELLOY: United States?
13	MR. DUBOIS: Good morning, Your Honor.
14	James Dubois for the United States.
15	JUDGE MELLOY: Mr. Wallace, are you on?
16	(No response.)
17	JUDGE MELLOY: Let's wait one minute for
18	Mr. Wallace. I'm going to have somebody give him a
19	call and make sure we're not having crossed signals
20	here. Just one second.
21	MR. WALLACE: I was having some Internet
22	connection issues this morning. I'm sorry for keeping
23	you-all delayed.
24	JUDGE MELLOY: We tried to call, but I
25	see Mr. Wallace is here now so I think we're ready to

1 proceed. 2 Let me -- before we start with resuming 3 the cross-examination so that I don't forget, New 4 Mexico did submit their deposition excerpts from the 5 deposition of Nicolai Kryloff. Am I pronouncing that 6 correctly, Mr. Kopp? 7 I believe so, Your Honor. MR. KOPP: 8 JUDGE MELLOY: Which has been marked as 9 New Mexico Exhibit 3000. Is there any objection to 10 admission of that exhibit? 11 MS. BARFIELD: No objections, Your 12 Honor. 13 JUDGE MELLOY: All right. New Mexico 14 Exhibit 3000 will be admitted. 15 All right. Anything further before we 16 start the cross -- resume the cross-examination? And 17 I would just remind you, Mr. Balliew, that you're 18 still under oath. Mr. Kopp, you may proceed. 19 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. KOPP: 20 JOHN BALLIEW, 21 having been previously duly sworn, testified further 22 as follows: 23 FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION 2.4 BY MR. KOPP: 25 Good morning, Mr. Balliew. I just have a few 0.

more questions for you today. I want to start by asking you about the drought in the Rio Grande Basin. We've heard from several other witnesses in this case that the Rio Grande Basin has been in a drought since the early 2000s. Do you agree with that?

- A. I would say that we're cycling in and out of the drought since the early 2000s.
- Q. So is it your understanding that in some years, there have not been reduced surface allocations in the Rio Grande?
- A. In -- in -- in some of those years between 2000 and let's say the present, we've had a -- pretty close to a full allotment. In some cases, we've had something that's low, but in other cases, it's been in between. So I refer to it as cycling in and out of the drought.
 - O. Okay.

2.4

- MR. KOPP: Let's pull up Texas 7739.
- Q. (BY MR. KOPP) I wanted to ask you a couple of questions about this exhibit. On Page 1 here, the Lower Valley and Central Well fields are Source 7. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Those are marked with horizontal lines there, correct?

1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Q. So on Page 2 of this exhibit, if we look at the map on the right, that's the water distribution outside of the irrigation season, right?

A. Yes.

- Q. So it appears on this map that those wells are being used in the winter in central El Paso, correct?
- Α. Okay. The -- when they are used, this is what it looks like, but we don't use them all the time, and the reason I say that is because if we have sufficient groundwater from the airport wells, the yellow in this case, we would not need them. reason that we would hesitate to use them is because of their overall general brackish content. Some of them, as I testified, have reverse osmosis units, but many of them don't. So in general, we try not to use So the circumstance where they would be used them. where you would see something like this chart here would be where we have, let's say, a hot spring, a hot March 15th, let's say. We have to have more water than the airport wells can supply so we would turn on those lower quality wells.
- Q. I see. Looking at the map on the right, though, the October to February map, it appears that

1 all the sources of water the city is using to supply 2 its customers in the winter are groundwater sources, 3 correct? 4 Α. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- That's not affected by the amount of EP water 0. surface allocation, correct?
 - Α. Correct.
- Okay. Let's turn, now, to Texas 91. 0. to ask you a few high-level questions about this I am reserving questions on the specific exhibit. quantifications until a later date, as I indicated yesterday. So this was prepared in 2017, correct?
 - Α. Correct.
- 0. And I think you testified you prepared this at the request of Dr. Sunding?
 - Α. Yes.
 - What did Dr. Sunding ask you to provide?
- He wanted to know what we did as a response Α. to the inconsistency in surface water delivery, so we had a discussion as to what -- what that could be, what it looks like, and as a result of that, we went off and compiled this report.
- I see. Is this a complete tabulation of the Q. expenses that you were alleging El Paso Water incurred as a result of these inconsistent surface water

allocations from 2000 to 2016?

A. Yes. As I testified, we -- we restricted this to just those things that we could attribute to the uncertainty in the surface water allocation.

- Q. Okay. And you did not provide any expenses prior to the year 2000, correct?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. Do you know which years during the period from 2000 to 2016 that EP1 had a full allocation of water from the Project?
 - A. I don't recall off the top of my head.
- Q. In a year EP1 received its full allocation, EP Water also received full allocation, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. For any year EP1 didn't receive a full Project allocation, you didn't analyze the cause of that decreased allocation, correct?
- A. Well, so when we have a decreased allocation, in some cases, it's -- it's relatively obvious. So I don't remember if we had a full allocation during this time frame, but I do remember when we did it. So 2003 would be an example and 2013, and those were clearly drought events because a limited snow pack, limited runoff, so the period of allocation, the season, the water delivery season, was shortened in those years.

So outside of that, there are times when the delivery was reduced, but I can't say that it was due to a drought. But I didn't do a specific analysis. Just based on my observation and our experience in the way that surface deliveries had happened in the past, 2003 and 2013 were clearly drought years.

- Q. So understood that in some years, there are clear drought conditions, low snow pack, and so on, but for those other years, do I understand you correctly that you didn't attempt to determine the cause of the reduced surface allocation?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. Okay. In this document, did you exclude projects that would be needed to serve the growing population we talked about yesterday?
 - A. Yes. Those were excluded.
 - Q. And how did you do that?
- A. Well, there are many other projects that we did during this time frame, so let's say we're talking about additional wells. In this report, we allocated the cost to two of those wells, but during this time frame, we probably drilled 12 or 14 wells. Some of those were related to growth; some of them were for wells that we had to replace because they went out of service, but only the ones that were specific to the

uncertainty in the surface water allocation did we put in this report.

- Q. Okay. And I think you testified yesterday that in some years, El Paso Water does not order its full allocation of water, correct?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. When you were compiling these expenses, did you consider years in which El Paso Water didn't order its full allocation?
- A. No. As I testified, the reason why we wouldn't order a full allocation has to do with the timing of delivery and the demand in the system. So if delivery comes, and let's say we're talking again about a spring delivery, a full March, we don't have enough demand in the system to be able to treat our hundred million gallons per day of surface water that we would be entitled to. So we have to treat whatever is demanded in -- in the system. So it's not like we're able to plan that and -- and figure it out exactly. That's the reason we need the aquifer storage and recovery project that I talked about so that we could, in fact, do that kind of plan.
- Q. Okay. When you are able to order your full allocation, do you ever carry over some of that unused allocation in project storage?

A. My understanding is we don't have the ability to do any -- any sort of carryover. We're a user of the district and so we place an order -- we place orders throughout the -- the week, two times during the course of the week, and then we receive that water. If we replace that order, we place an order, and we're not able to take the water for whatever reason, then we pay for it, and it's gone. But we can't carry over storage. The District might be able to do that, and then the next year, that gets allocated among their users, including El Paso Water, but we don't have any say in how that happens.

- Q. Okay. We talked a little bit yesterday about how El Paso Water sometimes gets charged for some of its Canutillo groundwater pumping as if it were a surface diversion; is that correct?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Did you consider these Canutillo charges when you were determining how much surface water El Paso Water received each year?
- A. No. And -- and the reason for that is because of the problem that we -- not problem, but the constraint that we have where we're frequently unable to use all of the allotment because of the season, the capacity, and the demand in the system. So we always

have water rights left over that we have been unable to use and so if we're unable to discharge the amount of water that is in the contract, let's say to the bed of the river from the northwest plant, then it's charged from that allotment that we have that we were unable to use.

- Q. What about in a year where you have a reduced surface allocation so you don't have any unused allotment, do you still get Canutillo charges in those years?
- A. We -- you know, I -- based on my recollection, we always will have some water left over because we don't -- we don't want to over order it.

 It's not like we want to be in a position where we've ordered water and then we're unable to use it. So we err on the side of not wasting any of that -- of that water, because there might be farmers in the El Paso County Water Improvement District system who could use that water so we don't want to order it and not be able to have anybody use it.
- Q. So even in a reduced surface allocation year, you'll have some unused allocation?
 - A. I believe so.

Q. And did you consider that unused allocation as part of the supply available to you in those

1 reduced surface years when you compiled this exhibit, 2 Texas 91? 3 Well, in terms of the order of magnitude, Α. 4 that's a very small number in comparison to the water 5 supply projects that we're talking about here, so the 6 answer to your question is no, we didn't really 7 consider it. 8 I want to move on now and ask you a 0. Okav. 9 few questions about the Kay Bailey Hutchison 10 desalination plant. 11 MR. KOPP: Can we pull up New Mexico 243 12 again, the map on Page 15? 13 0. (BY MR. KOPP) So the desalination plant is 14 shown right here in the middle of the map, and that 15 appears to be located pretty close to the dividing 16 line between the fresh and brackish portions of the 17 aguifer, correct? 18 Α. Yes. 19 In fact, I think one purpose of the desal Q. 20 plant is to prevent brackish water intrusion into 21 those freshwater portions of the aquifer, right? 22 Α. Yes. 23 It does that by intercepting the brackish 0. 24 water before it can intrude further into the

25

freshwater portion?

A. Yes. What's missing from this graphic here is just above the dot that represents the Kay Bailey Hutchison plant, you see a black line that loops up and goes through the letter F there. That represents a -- a highway, and along that highway are a series of wells that go directly to the Kay Bailey Hutchison plant, so those are the wells that are intercepting specifically that brackish water.

- Q. I see. So the -- the location of the pumping for the desal plant is not right where the desal plant is, it's to the north?
- A. And -- and to the west. So the line of red wells that are right on that dotted yellow line -- dashed yellow line, so those feed water to the plant. Those refer to the feed as the feed wells and the wells along that highway as the blend wells, but they both go to the Kay Bailey Hutchison plant.
- Q. I see. And the feed wells and the blend wells both pump brackish water, correct?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Mr. Balliew, would you agree that the capacity of the desal plant was designed with this goal of preventing the brackish water intrusion in mind?
- A. Yes. So when it comes to the capacity, that

27.5 number, that includes some different -- different components, but part of that capacity was for the interception of that brackish water.

- Q. Okay. And looking again at this map, these red dots that are to the west of the desal plant, I think you testified the wells that are right along the line are wells that are supplying the plant, correct?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. And the wells that are a little bit farther west, those are the wells that the plant is essentially protecting from this brackish water intrusion?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. If you reduced pumping from the desal plant too much, would you begin to experience problems with brackish water intrusion into these wells?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Let's go to Page 35 on New Mexico 243. So this slide is labeled "EPWU Support for Fort Bliss." I just want to ask you a few questions about this slide. Do you remember giving this presentation and discussing Fort Bliss?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So it says here in the first column -- first paragraph, excuse me, that, "El Paso Water is given

1 assurances to the pentagon that the City of El Paso 2 has a sustainable water supply." Is that right? 3 Α. Yes. 4 0. And the second paragraph states that these 5 assurances were -- I'm sorry. Still the first -- I'm 6 sorry. This is the wrong page. 7 MR. KOPP: Is this Page 35? Could you 8 Yes, I'm sorry. This is the correct page. go to 34? 9 My apologies. 10 The second paragraph on this 0. (BY MR. KOPP) 11 page, excuse me, states that, "The assurances you gave 12 the pentagon are a significant factor in the 13 pentagon's decision to expand Fort Bliss." Would you 14 agree with that? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. And, finally, the last paragraph states, "El 17 Paso Water partnered with Fort Bliss to construct the 18 desal plant," correct? 19 Α. Yes. 20 I believe Fort Bliss provides water to El 21 Paso Water for treatment at the desal plant? 22 Α. So the wells that I talked about that are 23 along that highway that are to the north of the plant 24 are on Fort Bliss property, so we drilled and 25 constructed the wells, and the -- the value of the

real estate for those wells, each individual well site, plus the plant itself is incorporated in a -- in a kind of a lease arrangement that we have with Fort Bliss, but for the water resource itself, we pay the Army for the water that comes out. So if that water is fresh, we pay a certain rate for it. If it's brackish, we pay a different rate for it. And then that gets blended up -- not blended up, combined with the water that we sell Fort Bliss and then they pay us the net difference.

- Q. I see. So they provide water to you and then you also sell some of that water back to them?
- 13 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Would you agree that Fort Bliss is a major contributor to the economy of the El Paso area?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. All right. Let's go back to -- excuse me.

MR. KOPP: At this time, Your Honor, I would like to submit Page 34 of New Mexico 243 into evidence.

JUDGE MELLOY: Any objection?

MS. BARFIELD: No objection, Your Honor.

JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Page 34 is

25 admitted.

1 I'm sorry. I'm being told I MR. KOPP: 2 said the wrong number. It's 243, Exhibit New Mexico 3 I think you're on mute, Your Honor. 4 JUDGE MELLOY: It's Page 34 of Exhibit 5 243, right? 6 MR. KOPP: Correct. 7 JUDGE MELLOY: All right. That page is 8 admitted. 9 MR. KOPP: Thank you. 10 0. (BY MR. KOPP) Let's go back to Texas 91 for a 11 moment. And on Page 2. So this exhibit lists several 12 other projects and improvements besides the Kay Bailey 13 Hutchison plant, correct, Mr. Balliew? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Okay. And yesterday, I believe you testified 16 El Paso Water would not have undertaken these projects 17 absent these disruptions to its surface water 18 deliveries; is that right? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. Okay. 21 MR. KOPP: Can we pull up New Mexico 22 2152? 23 (BY MR. KOPP) Mr. Balliew, I'm showing you 0. 24 what's been marked as Exhibit New Mexico 2152. 25 document is titled, "El Paso Water Resource Management

1	Plan Phase 3 Completion Report." Do you see that?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Do you recognize this document?
4	A. I'm familiar with the with the Boyle
5	report. It's been many, many years since I've
6	actually seen it.
7	Q. Okay. Could you describe what this document
8	is?
9	A. There was a period of time when El Paso Water
10	hired Boyle Engineering to do a water resource
11	management plan and three-volume reports.
12	Q. Okay.
13	A. These three volumes.
14	Q. I think you were part of that planning
15	process, correct?
16	A. Yes. There was a technical advisory group
17	collection of people, and I was the manager of that
18	group of people, the technical side.
19	Q. I see. And is this document maintained in El
20	Paso Water's records?
21	A. Yes.
22	MR. KOPP: Okay. I'd like to submit New
23	Mexico's Exhibit 2152 into evidence.
24	JUDGE MELLOY: Any objection?
25	MS. BARFIELD: No objection, Your Honor.

JUDGE MELLOY: New Mexico 2152 is admitted.

MR. KOPP: Can we turn to Page 3? Can you highlight the last two paragraphs there or blow them up?

- Q. (BY MR. KOPP) So the second sentence of this first paragraph states, "The Phase 3 of this plan development involved estimating the cost of three alternative plans formulated in Phase 2, evaluating and ranking the three plans, and selecting the preferred plan, and documenting the adopted plan." Do you see that?
 - A. I see it.

- Q. Okay. And then the second paragraph indicates that the plans were considered by both the technical advisory committee and the management advisory committee, and then the preferred plan was selected in consultation with both committees, correct?
- A. Yes, I see that.
 - Q. Okay. Let's turn to Page 5 now. Actually, I want to look at this first sentence, which starts at the very bottom of Page 4. It states, "The plan adopted for management of El Paso's water resources through the next 50 years, Scenario A, consists of the

following principal elements." Do you see that?

A. Yes, I do.

- Q. Okay. And then let's zoom out here. I want to just highlight a couple of these factors that are just summarized here. First, let's look at Item 6. This states that, "One of the goals in this management plan was perfection of an agreement with the EPCWID and the USBR by 1992 enabling the PSB to store its Project surface water supplies in Elephant Butte Reservoir and make deliveries of surface water from storage during the non-irrigation season." Is that right?
 - A. I see that, yes.
- Q. Okay. El Paso Water never executed such a contract, correct?
 - A. No, we did not.
- Q. Is El Paso Water still pursuing such an agreement?
- A. No, we're not.
- Q. Okay. Let's look now at Item 7. So this states, "El Paso Water was planning to expand groundwater production from the Mesilla Bolson in Texas at an average increase of 1,500 acre-feet per year starting immediately and continuing through the year 2010." Do you see that?

1	
2	
3	M
4	
5	r
6	i
7	i
8	k
9	
10	М
11	
12	s
13	r
14	М
15	
16	s
17	"
18	g

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I see that.
- Q. Has El Paso Water expanded pumping in the Mesilla Bolson in Texas since this report was issued?
- A. Not to that extent. So following this report, we did modeling of the Mesilla Bolson that indicated that it could not support a substantial increase of expansion in pumping. So as far as I know, nothing of this nature ever took place.
- Q. Was there some increase in pumping from the Mesilla Bolson since that time?
- A. I would characterize it as we -- we put in some additional facilities, but we maintained relatively constantly the pumping rate from the Mesilla for many years.
- Q. Okay. Let's turn to Page 6 now. So the second sentence at the top of the page indicates, "This is a list of the principal additional water supply facilities which must be constructed in the next 40 years to implement the adopted water resource management plan." Do you see that?
 - A. I do see that.
- Q. Okay. Looking at the first bullet on Page 6, it indicates, El Paso Water planned to construct 39 wells in the Mesilla Bolson in Texas; is that right?
 - A. I see that.

1 I believe yesterday you testified 0. Okay. 2 there were approximately 35 wells total in the 3 Canutillo well field, correct? 4 Α. Correct. 5 And these wells are El Paso Water's 0. Okay. 6 only wells in the Mesilla Bolson in Texas? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Okay. So these 39 new wells discussed in 0. 9 Exhibit 2152 haven't been constructed then? 10 Α. Correct. They have not. 11 Okay. I think you also testified yesterday Q. 12 that the northwest water treatment plant has a 13 capacity of around 60 million gallons per day; is that 14 right? 15 Α. The -- the upper valley. 16 Q. Excuse me. Sorry to interrupt. 17 Α. Yes. In that -- yes, that's the answer. 18 Okay. But I think you also testified that Q. 19 the existing wells that supply that plant only produce 20 a little less than 30 million gallons per day, 21 correct? 22 Α. That's correct. So when the plant was 23 constructed, it put together what we refer to as a 2.4 campus style, so it's not a series of treatment units 25

that are back to back but a series of units with space

in between. So the plant was designed with a future thought that there might be surface water available that could be treated and so the plant capacity is higher so that it could take the groundwater and additional surface water, but as yet that surface water has not materialized. So even though the plant capacity is 60 million gallons per day, the most we've ever treated, I think, is 28, and typically it's 25 or less.

2.4

- Q. I see. Were these additional Canutillo wells mentioned here in Exhibit 2152, were they planned to allow the upper valley treatment plant to treat water at its full capacity?
- A. No. This -- this report here predates the construction of the upper valley plant, so I think that after this was done, there was additional planning and refinement before the upper valley plant was constructed.
- Q. I see. Let's move down here to the sixth bullet on this page. This mentions a 36-inch to 72-inch diameter southern transmission pipeline along Doniphan Drive in the Rio Grande corridor linking Canutillo well field, the Robertson Umbenhauer water treatment plant, and the Jonathan Rogers water treatment plant. Do you see that?

1 Α. Yes. 2 So at this time El Paso Water was planning to 3 build a new 36 to 72-inch diameter pipeline linking 4 these facilities? 5 Α. Yes. 6 MR. KOPP: Can we turn quickly to Page 7 76? 8 (BY MR. KOPP) So in the bottom left, the 0. 9 legend states that this is Scenario A, capital 10 improvements plan to be built as of the year 2000. 11 you see that? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And once again, Scenario A is the management 0. 14 plan that was selected in this report, correct? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. So looking above that Scenario A on the 17 bottom left, the legend states that the proposed water 18 transmission line appears on here in a thick black 19 line. Do you see that? 20 Α. Yes. 21 So if we look over here at the map, the 0. 22 portion of this pipeline that was planned to be built 23 as of 2000 is shown running from the Mesilla in the 2.4 north along the river south into central El Paso.

25

you see that?

1 Α. Yes. 2 The capacity measurements for that pipeline Q. 3 are shown in little print next to it, and they seem to 4 range from 48 inches to 72 inches. Do you see that? 5 Α. Right. 6 Okay. Let's go back to Texas 91 for a Q. 7 I want to go to Page 163. On this page, this 8 is a summary of costs you're alleging El Paso Water 9 incurred to power its wells during periods when it had reduced surface water allotments, correct? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 Okay. And on Page 165, let's turn to that. Q. 13 This table shows what appears to be water delivering 14 pumping data; is that right? 15 Α. Yes. 16 MR. KOPP: Can we put up Page 166 next 17 to this? 18 Q. (BY MR. KOPP) Thanks for your patience. Do 19 you see those both on your screen now? 20 Α. I do. 21 0. Okay. So in the table on Page 166, it 22 appears you took the groundwater produced supplement

lack of surface water column from the 165 table and

then used that to calculate the expenses shown on Page

23

2.4

25

163; is that right?

1 Α. Yes. 2 Okay. So we can take down the table on 166 Q. 3 Looking back at this table on 165, the column on 4 the left, Rio Grande acre-feet, do you see that? 5 Α. Yes, I do. 6 Q. That has values in acre-feet, right? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Okay. But the rest of the columns are in Q. 9 units of 1,000 gallons, correct? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Okay. And the Rio Grande acre-feet column, Q. 12 that shows El Paso Water's diversions of surface water 13 from the river each year, correct? 14 Α. Yes. 15 And the groundwater produced supplement lack 0. 16 of surface water column, that shows the amount you're 17 claiming El Paso Water pumps to compensate when it 18 didn't receive enough surface water, correct? 19 Α. Yes. 20 0. All right. 21 MR. KOPP: Can we pull up Demonstrative 22 19? 23 (BY MR. KOPP) So, Mr. Balliew, we prepared Q. 2.4 this exhibit using the information from the table we 25 were just talking about on Page 165. This graph shows

the values from the Rio Grande acre-feet column in orange and the values from the groundwater produced to supplement lack of surface water column in blue. Do you see that? So when we added these two numbers together for each year from the table on Page 165, we found they always add up to about 61,000 acre-feet, and, Mr. Balliew, we discussed earlier how El Paso Water currently has the capacity to use about 61 or 62,000 acre-feet of surface water per year, correct?

- A. 62,000 acre-feet is the most that we've treated, but yes.
- Q. Okay. So looking at this demonstrative, isn't it true that you made a simple assumption that from 2000 to 2016, El Paso Water would receive at least 61,000 acre-feet of surface water each year?
- A. Are you asking if that was the assumption that was used to produce the table that we previously looked at?
 - Q. Yes.

2.4

- A. I don't think that's the case.
- Q. Then what assumption did you use?
- A. I think what we looked at is year by year what wells were run in the -- in the summertime, essentially in the -- in the period of critical peak pricing from the electric company that we would not

1 have normally run if we did have the 62,000 acre-feet 2 allotment. 3 So you -- you capped that groundwater pumping 0. 4 at 61 or 62,000 acre-feet that you used to calculate 5 these values? 6 I believe something like that. Α. 7 Q. Then you subtracted out the Rio Grande Okay. 8 diversions from that number? 9 Α. Yes. 10 0. Okay. And you used that to calculate the 11 pumping expenses, correct? 12 We did that for each individual well. Α. 13 Those pumping costs are the ones that 0. I see. 14 are summarized in Item 9 that's on Page 163, correct? 15 Α. Well, you might want to show it to me again. 16 Q. Sorry. Yeah. 17 MR. KOPP: Can you please pull that up? 18 Α. So your question again? Sorry. 19 (BY MR. KOPP) Yes. The pumping costs are the Q. 20 ones that are -- are summarized here, correct? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Okay. And the wells we were just discussing Q. 23 that you had to run to produce this sur -- excuse me,

supplemental groundwater, are those the same wells

that you list for maintenance costs in Item 8 on Page

2.4

161?

2.4

MR. KOPP: Can we go to 161?

A. Okay. So there's a difference between the two types of wells. So in this table that you're looking at here, the well maintenance and rehabilitation, this refers to all of the wells in general that could supply water to where surface water is normally used. So I would say this is a larger set of wells. The reason for this particular project was to increase reliability so we needed all of the wells to be reliable, not just those that are specific to the ability to produce water when the surface water is not available.

Q. (BY MR. KOPP) I think that makes sense. Thank you.

MS. BARFIELD: Could I ask a question of clarification to the Court?

JUDGE MELLOY: Go ahead.

MS. BARFIELD: Thank you, Your Honor.

It appears that Mr. Koppis going to go ahead and cross-examine specific expense items in Texas 091, so I would ask for clarification on the Court's ruling.

I think it's prejudicial to the State of Texas for

Mr. Kopp to get to ask some of his expense-related

25 questions now and then save some of them for later so

1	I think the choice is I think he's opened the door,
2	and I would ask that he go ahead and complete his
3	cross-examination today as opposed to his statement
4	earlier that he was going to reserve such questions.
5	MR. KOPP: May I respond, Your Honor?
6	JUDGE MELLOY: You may.
7	MR. KOPP: Yesterday, Ms. Barfield asked
8	the witness a number of questions about assumptions he
9	made when preparing the costs shown in this exhibit.
10	I was just probing some of those assumptions, but I'm
11	done with my questioning. I have no further questions
12	on this.
13	JUDGE MELLOY: All right.
14	MR. KOPP: We do
15	JUDGE MELLOY: How much longer are you
16	going to be with your cross?
17	MR. KOPP: Probably five minutes, Your
18	Honor. I'm almost finished.
19	JUDGE MELLOY: All right. You may
20	proceed.
21	MR. KOPP: All right. And just to
22	clarify, we can still reserve the right to call this
23	witness back in the next phase, if necessary?
24	JUDGE MELLOY: Yes.
25	MR. KOPP: Thank you.

1	Q. (BY MR. KOPP) Mr. Balliew, I really do just
2	have a few more questions for you. You testified
3	yesterday that the City began drilling wells and
4	switched its municipal supply to groundwater starting
5	around 1903, correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Okay. Do you know how much groundwater El
8	Paso pumped in 1938?
9	A. No. I think in that 1990 Ashworth report,
10	the number is in there.
11	Q. Okay. Is it fair to assume that El Paso
12	pumped less groundwater in 1938 than it does today?
13	MS. BARFIELD: Objection; it lacks
14	foundation.
15	A. I don't really remember.
16	JUDGE MELLOY: I'm going to sustain
17	that. He said it's in the report. He doesn't know.
18	MR. KOPP: That's fine.
19	Q. (BY MR. KOPP) Do you know approximately how
20	many groundwater wells El Paso had in 1938?
21	A. No, I don't.
22	Q. Do you know if El Paso Water has more wells
23	today than it did in 1938?
24	A. I don't directly know that since I don't know
25	how many there were in 1938.

1 That's fair. You also testified yesterday 0. 2 that about 50 percent of your effluent is derived from 3 surface water, right? 4 Α. Yes. And so I made that statement on the 5 basis of 50 percent of our water supply in a normal 6 year, that is to say a non-drought year, comes from 7 surface water so that would end up in the wastewater 8 treatment plants and come out as effluent. 9 Sure. Just to be clear, the surface water El Q. 10 Paso Water uses is all Project water, correct? 11 Α. Correct. 12 I do just have one more question about Q. 13 Exhibit New Mexico 202. 14 MR. KOPP: Can we pull that up quickly? 15 0. (BY MR. KOPP) There are two rows here on the 16 left that I wanted to ask you about. Here in the 17 middle, it's the 2001 contract water and 2001 contract 18 water effluent rows. Do you see those? 19 Α. Yes, I do. 20 So the next column over is titled, "Irrigable 21 Land Acres," but it's correct that the numbers shown 22 for these rows don't reflect acres of land the City 23 owns, right? 24 Α. That is correct.

Okay. And in these rows, they just represent

25

0.

water that El Paso Water has purchased from EP1, correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Or I guess in the case of the 2001 contract water effluent credit, that's water that El Paso Water receives in exchange for effluent from EP1, correct?
- A. Well, so specifically, that number 11,032 that's there, we received the credit for 50 percent of that. That's where that next column number comes into play. I'll grant you, it's a little bit confusing because it is not actually acres, and the 50 percent is not actually acre-feet per acre. It's just representing the fact that -- and I'm -- that the 11,032 was the effluent that was put into the system under this -- in the El Paso County Water Improvement system as part of this, but we get the 50 percent credit.
- Q. I see. So in the irrigable land acres column, that's -- for that row, that's reflecting the volume of effluent you provided EP1, and then over in the allocation acre-feet column, that's the water El Paso Water received in exchange, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- 25 O. I see.

1	A. If you can bear with me one minute, I'm
2	locked up here on the video so I need to get somebody
3	to help me. Okay.
4	Q. Is your video working now, Mr. Balliew?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. I just have one more question. You
7	mentioned yesterday that in the early 1980s, I think,
8	El Paso Water filed applications to drill some wells
9	in the Mesilla Bolson and New Mexico, correct?
10	A. Yes. I believe the Mesilla and the Hueco
11	Bolson.
12	Q. I see. And this led to litigation with New
13	Mexico?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Do you know for the the wells that El Paso
16	Water applied for in the Mesilla, did any Texas
17	official tell El Paso Water at that time that pumping
18	groundwater in New Mexico could violate a 1938
19	Condition?
20	A. I don't recall anything of that nature.
21	MR. KOPP: All right. Thank you. I
22	have no further questions.
23	JUDGE MELLOY: Mr. Wallace, I guess you
24	would be next if you have any questions.

MR. WALLACE: No questions. Thank you,

1 Your Honor. 2 JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Ms. Barfield, 3 do you have any redirect? 4 MS. BARFIELD: I have a couple of quick 5 questions, Your Honor. 6 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MS. BARFIELD: 8 Mr. Balliew, are you aware that the Canutillo 9 wells get charged to the EP1 allocation? 10 Α. No. 11 Okay. Well, that brings me to my next Q. 12 question. El Paso Water is not responsible for those 13 calculations or how the Canutillo wells get charged; 14 is that correct? 15 Α. Correct. We are not. 16 Q. Okay. You don't know any specifics about 17 that? 18 No, I don't. Α. 19 Do you have an understanding that Dr. Blair Q. 20 is the one responsible for that? 21 Α. Yes. That's correct. 22 MS. BARFIELD: Thank you. No further 23 questions, Your Honor. 2.4 JUDGE MELLOY: Well, just to follow up, 25 and maybe Mr. Balliew doesn't know based on his

1 answer, but what do we mean by getting charged? 2 you know what -- do you know what they're talking 3 about with the Canutillo wells getting charged to your 4 allotment? 5 THE WITNESS: I think what it means is that of the -- of the allotment that we're unable to 6 7 use, that they would charge us for that water. 8 other words, I think that we paid for it, but we don't 9 actually use the water. 10 JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. All right. 11 Anybody want to follow up on that? 12 MS. BARFIELD: No, Your Honor. 13 JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Okay. Thank 14 you, Mr. Balliew. We appreciate your testimony, and 15 you're excused. Thank you very much. 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 17 JUDGE MELLOY: All right then. Is there 18 anything else we need to take up today? 19 MS. BARFIELD: Your Honor, I have one 20 question regarding the Texas exhibit list. 21 JUDGE MELLOY: For today or in general? 22 MS. BARFIELD: It involves the 23 Miltenberger presentation for next Monday. 2.4 JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. I don't -- I don't 25 have that, I don't think.

1 Oh, no. You don't yet. MS. BARFIELD: 2 And this -- earlier in the week, we determined that 3 Dr. Miltenberger is going to use two historic maps 4 during his presentation, which are all among the 5 materials that have been circulated in the case, but 6 they just never had specific assigned exhibit numbers 7 for the maps. We circulated this to the parties 8 earlier in the week, told them we would like to assign 9 exhibit numbers to these historic maps. There were no 10 objections to that request, so we are now requesting 11 of Your Honor that we be allowed to stamp these two 12 maps as additional Texas exhibits and go ahead and 13 upload them to Box.com, as well as add them to our 14 exhibit list. 15 JUDGE MELLOY: So basically supplement 16 your exhibit list? 17 MS. BARFIELD: Exactly. 18 JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. And do I 19 understand, Mr. Kopp, you have no objection. 20 MR. KOPP: That's correct, Your Honor. 21 We have no problem with that proposal, though we 22 reserve our right to lodge objections to the entry of 23 those exhibits into evidence. 2.4 JUDGE MELLOY: All right. The request 25 will be granted. So let's talk a little bit, since we

have a minute here, about the schedule. So we have 1 2 Miltenberger, which will probably take all of Monday, 3 I assume, and maybe into Tuesday, but let's hope we 4 get done in one day, and then Stephens will be the 5 same, I assume, about a full day? Does that sound 6 about right, Mr. Kopp? 7 MR. KOPP: Yes, Your Honor. 8 JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. And then are you 9 still planning to call all the witnesses on your list, 10 Mr. Kopp, or do you -- or are you looking at 11 scratching any of them? 12 MR. KOPP: There are a few that we may 13 not call. We haven't made a final determination of 14 that yet, though. 15 JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. And do you think 16 your time estimates are still relatively accurate? 17 MR. KOPP: I would say yes, Your Honor. 18 JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. So let me do some 19 quick math here. Okay. Don't hold me to this because 20 I added very quickly, but if we -- once you get past 21 Dr. Stephens, it looks like you have about 30 hours of 22 direct. If we assume similar cross, that'd be 60 23 hours, about ten days. That would be about ten days 24 of testimony. 25 I think that's pretty close, MR. KOPP:

1	Your Honor.
2	JUDGE MELLOY: So we probably won't get
3	done by the end of the week we come back, but we may
4	get close. And if you if you drop a few witnesses
5	or there's less cross, we may get done by the end of
6	that week.
7	MR. KOPP: I think that's right, Your
8	Honor. Thank you.
9	JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. Are there any
10	other any questions about that? And we'll get the
11	Miltenberger exhibits uploaded today? Is that the
12	schedule?
13	MS. BARFIELD: Yes, that is correct,
14	Your Honor.
15	JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. Then you'll have
16	them to us ship them out tonight or, no,
17	tomorrow, so that we'll have them on Friday?
18	MS. BARFIELD: That is correct, yes.
19	JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. All right. Then
20	you'll be uploading the Stephens exhibits tomorrow,
21	Mr. Kopp, and we'll have those on Monday, right?
22	MR. KOPP: That's correct, Your Honor.
23	JUDGE MELLOY: Are there going to be a
24	lot of exhibits for Miltenberger?
25	MS. BARFIELD: Yes, Your Honor, there

are significant amount of exhibits given the fact that he's the historian. I will say that the PowerPoint that we will use to walk through his presentation is approximately 73 slides. Within those slides are depicted cover pages and so forth or callouts from historic documents, so we will hit a very large number of the documents that are on the list just by virtue of moving through the presentation itself. There are also a number of historic documents that will be included on the list, which we are assuming we won't get objections to because they are, in fact, historic, which we might not use, but they are nonetheless direct source material for Dr. Miltenberger's opinions.

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. Well, it will be interesting to compare the two historians back to back as to what -- what areas of agreement and disagreement they may have. I assume that there are probably a fair number of areas in which they don't dispute each other, but I guess -- I guess we'll see on Monday and Tuesday.

All right. Anything else before we sign off? If not, then I'll see everybody first thing Monday morning. Thank you, everyone.

MS. BARFIELD: Thank you, Your Honor.

Page 43

```
1
                                 Thank you, Your Honor.
                     MR. KOPP:
 2
                                     Thank you, Your Honor.
                     MR. WALLACE:
 3
                     (The proceedings adjourned at 11:54
 4
     a.m.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 I, HEATHER L. GARZA, a Certified 4 Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, do 5 hereby certify that the facts as stated by me in the 6 caption hereto are true; that the foregoing pages 7 comprise a true, complete and correct transcript of 8 the proceedings had at the time of the hearing. 9 I further certify that I am not, in any 10 capacity, a regular employee of any of the parties in 11 whose behalf this status hearing is taken, nor in the 12 regular employ of any of the attorneys; and I certify 13 that I am not interested in the cause, nor of kin or 14 counsel to any of the parties. 15 16 GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF 17 on this, the 7th day of December, 2021. 18 19 HEATHER L. GARZA, CSR, RPR, CRR 2.0 Certification No.: 8262 Expiration Date: 04-30-22 21 22 23 Worldwide Court Reporters, Inc. Firm Registration No. 223 24 3000 Weslayan, Suite 235 Houston, TX 77027

25

800-745-1101

A	9:17,18,20,24	assigned 39:6	bear 36:1	32:22 40:9,13
a.m 1:12 43:4	10:11 11:1,5,9	assume 33:11	bed 13:3	callouts 42:5
ability 12:1	11:11,24,25	40:3,5,22	began 33:3	campus 24:24
31:12	13:8,21,22,24	42:18	behalf 4:8 44:11	Canutillo 12:15
able 11:15,19,23	35:22 37:9	assuming 42:10	believe 5:7 13:23	12:18 13:9
12:7,9 13:20	allocations 6:9	assumption	17:20 19:15	24:3 25:10,23
above-entitled	9:1	29:13,16,21	24:1 30:6	37:8,13 38:3
1:11	allotment 6:13	assumptions	36:10	capacity 12:25
absent 19:17	12:24 13:5,9	32:8,10	bit 12:13 16:9	15:22,25 16:2
accurate 40:16	30:2 38:4,6	assurances 17:1	35:11 39:25	24:13 25:3,7
acre 35:13	allotments 27:10	17:5,11	black 15:3 26:18	25:13 27:2
acre-feet 22:23	allow 25:12	attempt 10:10	Blair 37:19	29:8 44:10
28:4,6,11 29:1	allowed 39:11	attorneys 44:12	blend 15:16,18	capital 26:9
29:6,9,10,15	alternative 21:9	attribute 9:3	blended 18:8,8	Capitol 2:5
30:1,4 35:13	amount 8:5 13:2	available 13:25	Bliss 16:20,22	capped 30:3
35:22	28:16 42:1	25:2 31:13	17:13,17,20,24	caption 44:6
acres 34:21,22	analysis 10:3	average 22:23	18:4,9,14	carry 11:24 12:9
35:12,19	analyze 9:16	aware 37:8	blow 21:4	carryover 12:2
add 29:6 39:13	answer 14:6		blue 29:3	case 6:3 7:13
added 29:4	24:17 38:1	B	Bolson 22:22	29:20 35:4
40:20	anybody 13:20	back 18:12,17	23:3,5,10,24	39:5
additional 10:20	38:11	19:10 24:25,25	24:6 36:9,11	cases 6:13,14
23:12,17 25:5	apologies 17:9	27:6 28:3	bottom 21:23	9:19
25:10,16 39:12	appearance 4:6	32:23 41:3	26:8,17	cause 9:16 10:11
adjourned 43:3	appearing 4:5	42:16,16	Box.com 39:13	44:13
admission 5:10	appears 7:6,25	Bailey 14:9 15:2	Boyle 20:4,10	central 6:21 7:7
admission 5.10	14:15 26:18	15:6,17 19:12	brackish 7:15	26:24
18:25 19:8	27:13,22 31:20	Balliew 3:4 4:6	14:16,20,23	certain 18:6
21:2	applications	5:17,20,25	15:8,19,23	CERTIFICATE
adopted 21:11	36:8	15:21 19:13,23	16:3,11,16	44:1
21:24 23:19	applied 36:16	28:23 29:7	18:7	Certification 3:7
advisory 20:16	appreciate	33:1 36:4 37:8	brings 37:11	44:20
21:16,17	38:14	37:25 38:14	Broadway 2:15	Certified 1:13
agree 6:5 15:21	approximately	Barfield 2:4 3:5	build 26:3	44:3
17:14 18:14	24:2 33:19	4:7,8 5:11	built 26:10,22	certify 44:5,9,12
agreement 22:7	42:4	18:23 20:25	bullet 23:22	Chad 2:14
22:18 42:17	aquifer 11:20	31:16,19 32:7	25:20	chad.wallace
ahead 31:18,20	14:17,21	33:13 37:2,4,7	Butte 22:9	2:16
32:2 39:12	area 18:15	37:22 38:12,19		characterize
airport 7:12,22	areas 42:17,19	38:22 39:1,17	C	23:11
alleging 8:24	Army 18:5	41:13,18,25	C 2:1,4	charge 38:7
27:8	arrangement	42:25	calculate 27:24	charged 12:14
allocated 10:20	18:3	based 10:4	30:4,10	13:5 37:9,13
12:11	Ashworth 33:9	13:11 37:25	calculations	38:1,3
allocation 8:6	asked 32:7	basically 39:15	37:13	charges 12:18
9:4,9,12,13,16	asking 6:2 29:16	Basin 6:2,4	California 2:5	13:9
7.1,7,12,13,10	assign 39:8	basis 34:5	call 4:19,24	chart 7:19
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	-	1	-	
choice 32:1	20:1	30:11,14,20	December 44:17	different 16:1,1
circulated 39:5	components	33:5 34:10,11	decision 17:13	18:7
39:7	16:2	34:21,24 35:2	decreased 9:17	direct 40:22
circumstance	comprise 44:7	35:7,23 36:9	9:18	42:13
7:18	Condition 36:19	37:14,15,21	DEFENDANT	directly 15:6
city 8:1 17:1	conditions 10:8	39:20 41:13,18	2:8,13	33:24
33:3 34:22	confusing 35:11	41:22 44:7	Defendants 1:7	disagreement
claiming 28:17	connection 4:22	correctly 5:6	delayed 4:23	42:17
clarification	consider 11:8	10:10	deliveries 10:5	discharge 13:2
31:17,22	12:18 13:24	corridor 25:22	19:18 22:10	discussed 24:8
clarify 32:22	14:7	cost 10:21 21:8	delivering 27:13	29:7
clear 10:8 34:9	considered	costs 27:8 30:13	delivery 8:19	discussing 16:22
clearly 9:22 10:6	21:15	30:19,25 32:9	9:25 10:1	30:22
close 6:13 14:15	consists 21:25	counsel 44:14	11:12,13,14	discussion 8:20
40:25 41:4	constantly 23:13	County 13:18	demand 11:12	dispute 42:19
collection 20:17	constraint 12:23	35:16	11:15 12:25	disruptions
Colorado 1:6	construct 17:17	couple 6:19 22:4	demanded 11:18	19:17
2:10,13,14,15	23:23	37:4	demonstrative	distribution 7:3
2:20 4:4	constructed	course 12:5	28:21 29:12	district 12:3,9
column 16:24	17:25 23:18	Court 1:1 4:3	Denver 2:10,15	13:18
27:23 28:3,11	24:9,23 25:18	31:17 44:23	2:20	diversion 12:16
28:16 29:1,3	construction	Court's 31:22	DEPARTME	diversions 28:12
34:20 35:10,20	25:15	cover 42:5	2:14,19	30:8
35:22	consultation	credit 35:5,9,18	depicted 42:5	dividing 14:15
columns 28:8	21:18	critical 29:24	deposition 5:4,5	document 10:13
combined 18:8	content 7:15	cross 5:16 32:16	derived 34:2	19:25 20:3,7
come 34:8 41:3	continued 4:5	40:22 41:5	desal 14:19	20:19
comes 11:13	continuing	cross-examina	15:10,10,22	documenting
15:25 18:5	22:24	3:4 4:5 5:3,16	16:5,14 17:18	21:11
34:6 35:10	contract 13:3	5:23 32:3	17:21	documents 42:6
commencing	22:15 34:17,17	cross-examine	desalination	42:7,9
1:12	35:5	31:21	14:10,13	Doniphan 25:22
committee 21:16	contributor	crossed 4:19	describe 20:7	door 32:1
21:17	18:15 correct 6:25 7:8	CRR 44:19 CSR 44:19	designed 15:22	dot 15:2
committees 21:18			25:1	dots 16:5
· -	8:3,6,7,12,13	currently 29:8	determination 40:13	dotted 15:13
company 29:25 compare 42:16	9:6,7,13,17 10:12 11:5	customers 8:2 cycling 6:6,15	determine 10:10	Dr 8:15,17 37:19 39:3 40:21
compare 42:16 comparison 14:4	10:12 11:5	cycling 0:0,15	determine 10:10 determined 39:2	42:13
comparison 14:4 compensate	15:19 16:7,8		determined 39:2	42:13 drill 36:8
28:17	16:13 17:8,18	dashed 15:14	12:19	drilled 10:22
compiled 8:22	19:6,13 20:15	data 27:14	development	17:24
14:1	21:19 22:15	date 8:11 44:20	21:8	drilling 33:3
compiling 11:7	24:3,4,10,21	day 11:16 24:13	diameter 25:21	Drive 25:22
complete 8:23	24:22 26:14	24:20 25:7	26:3	drop 41:4
32:2 44:7	27:10 28:9,13	40:4,5 44:17	difference 18:10	drought 6:2,4,7
Completion	28:18 29:9	days 40:23,23	31:3	6:16 9:23 10:3
Compicuon	20.10 27.7	, -	31.3	0.10 7.23 10.3

10:6,8	EPWU 16:19	0.5 11.9 27.24	forget 5.2	going 4.19 21.20
,		9:5 11:8 27:24	forget 5:3	going 4:18 31:20
Dubois 2:19	err 13:16	30:11	formulated 21:9	32:4,16 33:16
4:13,14	essentially 16:11	experience 10:4	Fort 16:19,22	39:3 41:23
due 10:2	29:24	16:15	17:13,17,20,24	Good 4:7,10,13
duly 5:21	estate 18:1	Expiration	18:3,9,14	5:25
DUNN 2:4	estimates 40:16	44:20	forth 42:5	Grande 6:2,4,10
	estimating 21:8	extent 23:4	found 29:6	25:22 28:4,11
	evaluating 21:9		foundation	29:1 30:7
E 2:1,1,1,1 earlier 29:7 32:4	events 9:23	F 15:4	33:14	grant 35:11
	everybody 42:23		frame 9:21	granted 39:25
39:2,8	evidence 18:21	facilities 23:12	10:19,22	graph 28:25
early 6:5,7 36:7	20:23 39:23	23:18 26:4	frequently 12:23	graphic 15:1
economy 18:15	exactly 11:20	fact 11:22 14:19	fresh 14:16 18:6	groundwater
effluent 34:2,8	39:17	35:14 42:1,11	freshwater	7:12 8:2 12:15
34:18 35:5,6	Examination	factor 17:12	14:21,25	22:22 25:4
35:15,21	3:5 37:6	factors 22:4	Friday 41:17	27:22 28:15
El 7:7 8:24 11:4	example 9:22	facts 44:5	full 6:13 9:9,12	29:2 30:3,24
11:8 12:11,14	excerpts 5:4	fair 33:11 34:1	9:13,15,20	33:4,7,12,20
12:19 13:17	exchange 35:6	42:19	11:5,9,11,14	36:18
16:25 17:1,16	35:23	familiar 20:4	11:23 25:13	group 20:16,18
17:20 18:15	exclude 10:13	far 23:7	40:5	growing 10:14
19:16,25 20:9	excluded 10:16	farmers 13:17	further 3:4 5:15	growth 10:23
20:19 21:24	excuse 16:25	farther 16:9	5:21,23 14:24	guess 35:4 36:23
22:14,17,21	17:11 18:17	February 7:25	32:11 36:22	42:20,20
23:2,23 24:5	24:16 30:23	feed 15:14,15,15	37:22 44:9	
26:2,24 27:8	excused 38:15	15:18	future 25:1	<u>H</u>
28:12,17 29:7	executed 22:14	field 24:3 25:23		HAND 44:16
29:14 33:7,11	exhibit 5:9,10,14	fields 6:21	G	happened 10:5
33:20,22 34:9	6:20 7:2 8:10	figure 11:19	gallons 11:16	happens 12:12
35:1,5,16,22	14:1 19:2,4,11	filed 36:8	24:13,20 25:7	head 9:11
36:8,15,17	19:24 20:23	final 40:13	28:9	heard 6:3
37:12	24:9 25:11	finally 17:16	GARZA 44:3,19	hearing 1:11
electric 29:25	28:24 32:9	fine 33:18	general 7:15,17	44:8,11
elements 22:1	34:13 38:20	finished 32:18	31:7 38:21	HEATHER
Elephant 22:9	39:6,9,14,16	Firm 44:23	getting 38:1,3	44:3,19
employ 44:12	exhibits 39:12	first 16:24,24	give 4:18	held 1:12
employee 44:10	39:23 41:11,20	17:5 21:7,22	given 16:25 42:1	help 36:3
enabling 22:8	41:24 42:1	22:5 23:22	44:16	hereto 44:6
Engineering	existing 24:19	42:23	giving 16:21	hesitate 7:14
20:10	expand 17:13	five 32:17	go 15:6,17 16:18	high-level 8:9
enter 4:6	22:21	Floor 2:15	17:8 18:17	higher 25:4
entitled 11:17	expanded 23:2	follow 37:24	19:10 27:6,7	highlight 21:4
entry 39:22	expansion 23:7	38:11	31:2,18,20	22:4
EP 8:5 9:13	expense 31:21	following 22:1	32:2 39:12	highway 15:5,5
EP1 9:9,12,15	expense-related	23:4	goal 15:23	15:16 17:23
35:1,6,21 37:9	31:24	follows 5:22	goals 22:6	hired 20:10
EPCWID 22:7	expenses 8:24	foregoing 44:6	goes 15:4	historian 42:2
	F	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

historians 42:16	increase 22:23	21:1 31:18	L 44:3,19	longer 32:15
historic 39:3,9	23:7,9 31:10	32:6,13,15,19	labeled 16:19	look 7:2 21:22
42:6,9,11	incurred 8:24	32:24 33:16	lack 27:23 28:15	22:5,20 26:21
hit 42:6	27:9	36:23 37:2,24	29:3	looked 29:18,22
hold 40:19	INDEX 3:1	38:10,13,17,21	lacks 33:13	looking 7:24
Honor 4:7,10,13	indicated 8:11	38:24 39:15,18	land 34:21,22	16:4 23:22
5:7,12,19	23:6	39:24 40:8,15	35:19	26:16 28:3
	indicates 21:15	40:18 41:2,9	large 42:6	29:12 31:5
20:25 31:19	23:16,23	41:15,19,23	larger 31:8	40:10
32:5,18 37:1,5	individual 18:1	42:15	LAW 2:14	looks 7:10 8:21
37:23 38:12,19	30:12	JUSTICE 2:19	lease 18:3	40:21
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	information		led 36:12	loops 15:3
40:17 41:1,8	28:24	K	left 13:1,12 26:8	lot 41:24
	intercepting	Kay 14:9 15:2,6	26:17 28:4	low 6:14 10:8
42:25 43:1,2	14:23 15:7	15:17 19:12	34:16	lower 6:21 7:23
	interception	keeping 4:22	legend 26:9,17	
1:11	16:3	kin 44:13	let's 4:17 6:12	M
hope 40:3	interested 44:13	kind 11:22 18:3	6:18 7:20,21	M 2:1
_	interesting	know 8:18 9:8	8:8 10:19	Machine 1:13
hot 7:20,20	42:16	13:11 23:8	11:13 13:3	magnitude 14:3
	Internet 4:21	33:7,17,19,22	16:18 18:17	maintained
Houston 44:24	interrupt 24:16	33:24,24 36:15	19:10 21:21	20:19 23:12
I I	intrude 14:24	37:16,25 38:2	22:3,5,20	maintenance
hundred 11:16	intrusion 14:20	38:2	23:15 25:19	30:25 31:5
Hutchison 14:9	15:23 16:12,16	Kopp 2:9 3:4	27:6,12 39:25	major 18:15
15:3,6,17	involved 21:8	4:10,11 5:6,7	40:3	Mall 2:5
	involves 38:22	5:18,19,24	letter 15:4	management
	irrigable 34:20	6:18,19 14:11	limited 9:23,23	19:25 20:11
I	35:19	14:13 17:7,10	Lincoln 2:10	21:16,24 22:6
immediately	irrigation 7:4	18:19 19:1,6,9	line 14:16 15:3	23:20 26:13
22:24	issued 23:3	19:10,21,23	15:12,13,14	manager 20:17
implement	issues 4:22	20:22 21:3,6	16:7 26:18,19	map 7:3,6,24,25
23:19	Item 22:5,20	26:6,8 27:16	lines 6:24	14:12,14 16:4
Improvement	30:14,25	27:18 28:21,23	linking 25:22	26:21
13:18 35:16	items 31:21	30:17,19 31:2	26:3	maps 39:3,7,9
improvements		31:14,24 32:5	list 23:17 30:25	39:12
19:12 26:10	J	32:7,14,17,21	38:20 39:14,16	March 7:21
,	J 2:19	32:25 33:1,18	40:9 42:7,10	11:14
	James 2:19 4:14	33:19 34:14,15	lists 19:11	marked 5:8 6:24
	james.dubois	36:21 39:19,20	litigation 36:12	19:24
including 12:11	2:21	40:6,7,10,12	little 12:13 16:9	MASTER 1:11
•	JOHN 3:4 5:20	40:17,25 41:7	24:20 27:3	material 42:13
	Jonathan 25:24	41:21,22 43:1	35:11 39:25	materialized
	JUDGE 4:1,9,12	Koppis 31:20	located 14:15	25:6
8:25	4:15,17,24 5:8	Kryloff 5:5	location 15:9	materials 39:5
incorporated	5:13 18:22,24		locked 36:2	math 40:19
18:2	19:4,7 20:24	L	lodge 39:22	matter 1:11
			10008007.22	

	I	1	I	I
mean 38:1	minute 4:17	north 15:11	38:13,24 39:18	44:10,14
means 38:5	36:1 40:1	17:23 26:24	40:8,15,18,19	partnered 17:17
measurements	minutes 32:17	northwest 13:4	41:9,15,19	Paso 7:7 8:24
27:2	missing 15:1	24:12	42:15	11:4,8 12:11
MELLOY 1:11	mkopp@trout	number 14:4	once 26:13	12:14,19 13:17
4:1,9,12,15,17	2:11	16:1 19:2 30:8	40:20	16:25 17:1,17
4:24 5:8,13	modeling 23:5	32:8 33:10	ones 10:25 30:13	17:21 18:15
18:22,24 19:4	moment 19:11	35:8,10 42:6,9	30:20	19:16,25 20:9
19:7 20:24	27:7	42:19	opened 32:1	20:20 22:14,17
21:1 31:18	Monday 38:23	numbers 29:4	opinions 42:14	22:21 23:2,23
32:6,13,15,19	40:2 41:21	34:21 39:6,9	opposed 32:3	24:5 26:2,24
32:24 33:16	42:20,24		orange 29:2	27:8 28:12,17
36:23 37:2,24	morning 4:7,10	0	order 11:4,9,11	29:7,14 33:8
38:10,13,17,21	4:13,22 5:25	O 2:1	11:23 12:3,6,6	33:11,20,22
38:24 39:15,18	42:24	oath 5:18	13:13,19 14:3	34:10 35:1,6
39:24 40:8,15	move 14:8 25:19	objection 5:9	ordered 13:15	35:16,23 36:8
40:18 41:2,9	moving 42:8	18:22,23 20:24	orders 12:4	36:15,17 37:12
41:15,19,23	municipal 33:4	20:25 33:13	Original 1:1 4:3	Paso's 21:24
42:15	mute 19:3	39:19	osmosis 7:16	patience 27:18
mentioned		objections 5:11	outside 7:4 10:1	pay 12:8 18:4,6
25:11 36:7	$\frac{1}{N}$ 2:1	39:10,22 42:11	overall 7:15	18:7,9
mentions 25:20		observation 10:4	owns 34:23	PC 2:4
Mesilla 22:22	nature 23:8 36:20	10:4 obvious 9:19	P	peak 29:24
23:3,5,10,14	necessary 32:23	October 1:12	$\overline{\mathbf{P}2:1,1}$	pentagon 17:1
23:24 24:6	need 7:13 11:20	7:25	pack 9:23 10:8	17:12
26:23 36:9,10	36:2 38:18	OFFICE 44:16	page 3:3 6:20	pentagon's
36:16	needed 10:14	official 36:17	7:2 14:12	17:13
Mexico 1:6 2:8	31:10	Oh 39:1	16:18 17:6,7,8	people 20:17,18
4:3,9,11 5:4,9	net 18:10	Okay 6:17 7:9	17:11 18:20,24	percent 34:2,5
5:13 14:11	never 22:14 39:6	8:8 9:5 10:13	19:4,7,11 21:3	35:9,12,17
16:18 18:20	new 1:6 2:8 4:3	11:3,7,23	21:21,23 23:15	perfection 22:7
19:2,21,24 21:1 34:13	4:9,11 5:3,9,13	12:13 14:8	23:16,22 25:20	period 9:8,24 20:9 29:24
36:9,13,18	14:11 16:18	15:21 16:4,14	26:6 27:7,7,12	periods 27:9
Mexico's 20:23	18:20 19:2,21	16:18 18:14,17	27:16,21,24	phase 20:1 21:7
Michael 1:11	19:24 20:22	19:15,20 20:7	28:25 29:5	21:9 32:23
2:9 4:11	21:1 24:8 26:3	20:12,22 21:14	30:14,25	pipeline 25:21
middle 14:14	34:13 36:9,12	21:21 22:3,14	pages 42:5 44:6	26:3,22 27:2
34:17	36:18	22:20 23:15,22	paid 38:8	place 12:3,3,6
million 11:16	Nicolai 5:5	24:1,5,8,11,18	paragraph	23:8
24:13,20 25:7	non-drought	27:6,12,21	16:25 17:4,10	Plaintiff 1:4 2:3
Miltenberger	34:6	28:2,8,11	17:16 21:7,14	plan 11:19,22
38:23 39:3	non-irrigation	29:12 30:7,10	paragraphs 21:4	20:1,11 21:7
40:2 41:11,24	22:11	30:22 31:3	part 13:25 16:2	21:11,11,17,23
Miltenberger's	normal 34:5	33:7,11 34:25	20:14 35:17	22:7 23:20
42:13	normally 30:1	35:4 36:3,6	particular 31:9	26:10,14
mind 15:24	31:8	37:11,16 38:10	parties 4:4 39:7	planned 23:23
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

25:11 26:22	pricing 29:25	23:2,7,9,13	12:5 28:18	33:9,17
planning 20:14	principal 22:1	27:14 30:3,11	29:14	reported 1:13
22:21 25:17	23:17	30:13,19 36:17	received 9:12,13	Reporter 1:13
26:2 40:9	print 27:3	pumps 28:17	12:20 35:9,23	44:4
plans 21:9,10,15	prior 9:6	purchased 35:1	receives 35:6	REPORTER'S
plant 13:4 14:10	probably 10:22	purpose 14:19	recognize 20:3	3:7
14:13,20 15:3	32:17 40:2	pursuing 22:17	recollection	Reporters 44:23
15:7,10,10,14	41:2 42:18	put 11:1 23:11	13:12	reports 20:11
15:17,22 16:5	probing 32:10	24:23 27:16	records 20:20	represent 34:25
16:7,10,15	problem 12:22	35:15	recovery 11:21	representing
17:18,21,23	12:22 39:21		red 15:12 16:5	35:14
18:2 19:13	problems 16:15	Q	redirect 3:5 37:3	represents 15:2
24:12,19,22	proceed 5:1,18	quality 7:23	37:6	15:4
25:1,3,6,12,15	32:20	quantifications	reduced 6:9 10:2	request 8:15
25:17,24,25	proceedings 1:9	8:11	10:11 13:7,21	39:10,24
plants 34:8	1:13 43:3 44:8	question 14:6	14:1 16:14	requesting
play 35:11	process 20:15	30:18 31:16	27:10	39:10
please 30:17	produce 24:19	34:12 36:6	refer 6:15 15:15	reserve 32:4,22
plus 18:2	29:17 30:23	37:12 38:20	24:23	39:22
population	31:12	questioning	refers 31:6	reserving 8:10
10:15	produced 27:22	32:11	refinement	Reservoir 22:10
portion 14:25	28:15 29:2	questions 6:1,20	25:17	resource 18:4
26:22	production	8:9,10 14:9	reflect 34:22	19:25 20:10
portions 14:16	22:22	16:20 31:25	reflecting 35:20	23:19
14:21	project 9:10,16	32:4,8,11 33:2	regarding 38:20	resources 21:24
position 13:14	11:21,25 22:9	36:22,24,25	Registration	respond 32:5
power 27:9	31:9 34:10	37:5,23 41:10	44:23	response 4:16
PowerPoint 42:2	projects 10:14	quick 37:4 40:19	regular 44:10,12	8:18
predates 25:14	10:18 14:5	quickly 26:6	rehabilitation	responsible
preferred 21:11	19:12,16	34:14 40:20	31:6	37:12,20
21:17	pronouncing 5:5	R	related 10:23	rest 28:8
prejudicial	property 17:24	$\overline{\mathbf{R}}$ 2:1,1	relatively 9:19	restricted 9:2
31:23	proposal 39:21	RALEY 2:9	23:13 40:16	result 8:21,25
prepared 8:12	proposed 26:17	range 27:4	reliability 31:10	resume 5:16
8:14 28:23	protecting 16:11	ranking 21:10	reliable 31:11	resuming 5:2
preparing 32:9	provide 8:17 9:5	rate 18:6,7	remember 9:20	reverse 7:16
present 6:12	18:11	23:13	9:21 16:21	right 4:1 5:13,15
presentation	provided 35:21	ready 4:25	33:15	7:3,4,24 14:14
16:21 38:23	provides 17:20	real 18:1	remind 5:17	14:21 15:10,13
39:4 42:3,8	PSB 22:8	really 14:6 33:1	REMOTELY	16:6 17:2
pretty 6:12	pull 6:18 14:11	33:15	1:12	18:17,24 19:5
14:15 40:25	19:21 28:21	reason 7:11,14	replace 10:24	19:7,18 22:12
prevent 14:20	30:17 34:14	11:10,20 12:8	12:6	23:24 24:14
preventing	pump 15:19	12:21 31:9	report 8:22	27:5,14,25
15:23	pumped 33:8,12	recall 9:11 36:20	10:20 11:2	28:6,20 32:13
previously 5:21	pumping 12:15	receive 9:15	20:1,5 23:3,5	32:19,21,22
29:17	15:9 16:14		25:14 26:14	34:3,23 36:21

<u> </u>				
37:2 38:10,13	25:10,19,25	somebody 4:18	submit 5:4	13:18 35:15,17
38:17 39:22,24	26:11,19,25	36:2	18:20 20:22	
40:6 41:7,19	27:4,19 28:4	sorry 4:22 17:5	substantial 23:6	T
41:21 42:22	29:4 30:13	17:6,8 19:1	subtracted 30:7	T 2:1
rights 13:1	34:18 35:19,25	24:16 30:16,18	sufficient 7:12	table 27:13,21
Rio 6:2,4,10	36:12 42:20,23	sort 12:2	Suite 2:5,10,20	27:23 28:2,3
25:22 28:4,11	seen 20:6	sound 40:5	44:24	28:24 29:5,17
29:1 30:7	selected 21:18	source 6:21	summarized	31:4
river 13:4 26:24	26:14	42:13	22:5 30:14,20	tabulation 8:23
28:13	selecting 21:10	sources 8:1,2	summary 27:8	take 12:7 25:4
Robertson 25:23	sell 18:9,12	south 26:24	summertime	28:2 38:18
Rogers 25:24	sense 31:14	southern 25:21	29:23	40:2
row 35:20	sentence 21:6,22	space 24:25	Sunding 8:15,17	taken 44:11
rows 34:15,18	23:16	SPECIAL 1:11	supplement	talk 39:25
34:22,25	series 15:5 24:24	specific 8:10	27:22 28:15	talked 10:15
RPR 44:19	24:25	10:3,25 31:11	29:3 39:15	11:21 12:13
ruling 31:22	serve 10:14	31:21 39:6	supplemental	17:22
run 29:23 30:1	service 10:25	specifically 15:8	30:24	talking 10:19
30:23	set 31:8	35:8	supplies 22:9	11:13 14:5
running 26:23	ship 41:16	specifics 37:16	supply 7:22 8:1	28:25 38:2
runoff 9:24	shortened 9:25	spring 7:20	13:25 14:5	tbarfield@so
	Shorthand 1:13	11:14	17:2 23:18	2:6
$\frac{S}{S}$	44:4	stamp 39:11	24:19 31:7	technical 20:16
S 2:1	Shorthand/Co	start 5:2,16 6:1	33:4 34:5	20:18 21:16
Sacramento 2:5	1:13	started 4:2	supplying 16:7	tell 36:17
save 31:25	show 30:15	starting 22:24	support 16:19	ten 40:23,23
says 16:24	showing 19:23	33:4	23:6	terms 14:3
Scenario 21:25	shown 14:14	starts 21:22	Supreme 1:1 4:2	testified 5:21 7:16 8:14 9:2
26:9,13,16 schedule 40:1	26:23 27:3,24	State 1:3,6,6 2:3	sur 30:23	
41:12	32:9 34:21	2:8,13 4:11	sure 4:19 34:9	11:3,10 16:6 19:15 24:1,11
	shows 27:13	31:23 44:4	surface 6:9 8:6	24:18 33:2
scratching 40:11 screen 27:19	28:12,16,25	stated 44:5	8:19,25 9:4	34:1
SEAL 44:16	side 13:16 20:18	statement 32:3	10:5,11 11:1	testimony 38:14
season 7:4 9:24	sign 42:22	34:4	11:16 12:16,19	40:24
9:25 12:24	signals 4:19	states 1:1 2:18	13:8,21 14:1	Texas 1:3 2:3
22:11	significant 17:12 42:1	4:2,12,14 17:4	19:17 22:9,10 25:2,5,5 27:10	4:3,6,8 6:18
second 4:20 17:4	42:1 similar 40:22	17:11,16 21:7 21:23 22:6,21	* *	8:8 14:2 19:10
17:10 21:6,14	SIMMONS 2:4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	27:23 28:12,16 28:18 29:3,9	22:23 23:3,24
23:16	simple 29:13	26:9,17 status 44:11	29:15 31:7,12	24:6 27:6
see 4:25 6:22	site 18:2	Stephens 40:4	34:3,7,9	31:21,23 36:16
7:19,24 8:23	sixth 25:19	40:21 41:20	sustain 33:16	38:20 39:12
15:3,9,18	slide 16:19,21	storage 11:21,25	sustainable 17:2	44:4
18:11 20:1,19	slides 42:4,4	12:9 22:11	switched 33:4	Thank 5:19 19:9
21:12,13,20	small 14:4	store 22:8	sworn 5:21	31:15,19 32:25
22:1,13,25	snow 9:23 10:8	Street 2:10,20	system 11:12,15	36:21,25 37:22
23:1,20,21,25	SOMACH 2:4	style 24:24	11:18 12:25	38:13,15,16
1 ' ' '		DUTIC 4 1.4T	11.10 14.43	, ,

	 I	 I	Ī	1
41:8 42:24,25	25:12	uploaded 41:11	water 7:3,21 8:1	39:8 41:3,6
43:1,2	treated 25:3,8	uploading 41:20	8:5,19,24,25	wells 7:6,12,22
Thanks 27:18	29:11	upper 24:15	9:4,10,13,25	7:23 10:20,21
that'd 40:22	treatment 17:21	25:12,15,17	11:1,4,5,9,16	10:22,24 15:6
Theresa 2:4 4:8	24:12,24 25:12	USBR 22:8	12:6,7,11,14	15:7,13,15,16
thick 26:18	25:24,25 34:8	use 7:10,14,17	12:19,20 13:1	15:16,18,19
thing 42:23	tried 4:24	12:24 13:2,6	13:3,12,15,17	16:6,7,9,10,16
things 9:3	TROUT 2:9	13:15,18,20	13:18,19 14:4	17:22,25 18:1
think 4:25 8:14	true 29:13 44:6	29:8,21 38:7,9	14:20,24 15:8	23:24 24:2,5,6
11:3 14:19	44:7	39:3 42:3,12	15:14,19,23	24:8,19 25:10
16:6 19:3	try 7:17	user 12:2	16:3,11,16,25	27:9 29:23
20:14 24:11,18	Tuesday 40:3	users 12:11	17:2,17,20,21	30:22,24 31:4
25:8,15 29:20	42:21	uses 34:10	18:4,5,5,9,11	31:6,9,10 33:3
29:22 31:14,23	turn 7:22 8:8		18:12 19:16,17	33:20,22 36:8
32:1,1 33:9	21:3,21 23:15	V	19:25 20:9,10	36:15 37:9,13
36:7 38:5,8,25	26:6 27:12	valley 6:21	21:24 22:9,10	38:3
40:15,25 41:7	two 10:21 12:4	24:15 25:12,15	22:14,17,21	went 8:21 10:24
thought 25:2	21:4 29:4 31:4	25:17	23:2,17,19,23	Weslayan 44:24
three 20:13 21:8	34:15 39:3,11	value 17:25	24:12 25:2,5,6	west 15:12 16:5
21:10	42:16	values 28:6 29:1	25:12,23,24	16:10
three-volume	TX 44:24	29:2 30:5	26:2,17 27:8	winter 7:7 8:2
20:11	types 31:4	versus 4:3	27:10,13,23	witness 32:8,23
time 7:11 9:21	typically 25:8	video 36:2,4	28:12,16,17,18	38:5,16
10:19,21 18:19		VII 1:5	29:3,8,9,14,15	witnesses 6:3
20:9 23:10	U	violate 36:18	31:7,7,12,12	40:9 41:4
26:2 36:17	U.S 2:19	virtue 42:7	33:22 34:3,5,7	words 38:8
40:16 44:8	Umbenhauer	volume 1:5	34:9,10,10,17	working 36:4
times 10:1 12:4	25:23	35:21	34:18 35:1,1,5	Worldwide
timing 11:12	unable 12:23	volumes 20:13	35:5,6,16,22	44:23
titled 19:25	13:1,2,6,15	VS 1:5	35:23 36:8,16	wouldn't 11:11
34:20	38:6	\mathbf{W}	36:17 37:12	wrong 17:6 19:2
today 6:1 32:3	uncertainty 9:4	wait 4:17	38:7,9	
33:12,23 38:18	11:1	walk 42:3	Water's 20:20	X
38:21 41:11	understand 10:9	Wallace 2:14	24:5 28:12	Y
told 19:1 39:8	39:19	4:15,18,21,25	way 10:4	Yeah 30:16
tomorrow 41:17	understanding	36:23,25 43:2	we'll 41:10,17	year 9:6,12,15
41:20	6:8 12:1 37:19	want 6:1 8:8	41:21 42:20	12:10,20 13:7
tonight 41:16	understood 10:7	13:13,14,19	we're 4:19,25	13:21 22:24,25
top 9:11 23:16	undertaken 19:16	14:8 16:20	6:6 10:19	26:10 28:13
total 24:2	United 1:1 2:18	21:22 22:3	11:13,19 12:2	29:5,9,15,22
transcript 1:9	4:2,12,14	27:7 30:15	12:7,23 13:2	29:22 34:6,6
44:7	4:2,12,14 units 7:16 24:24	38:11	13:15 14:5	years 6:9,11 9:8
Transcription	24:25 28:9	wanted 6:19	22:19 38:6	9:25 10:6,7,9
1:14	unused 11:24	8:18 34:16	we've 6:3,12,13	11:4,8 13:10
transmission	13:8,22,24	wastewater 34:7	13:14 25:7	14:1 20:5
25:21 26:18	upload 39:13	wasting 13:16	29:10	21:25 23:14,19
treat 11:15,17	upivau 37.13		week 12:4,5 39:2	
	•	-	•	-

yellow 7:13	1992 22:8	44 3:7	999 2:20	
15:13,14		446-7979 2:6	777 2.20	
yesterday 8:12	2	48 27:4		
10:15 11:3	2 7:2 19:11 21:9	4027.4		
12:13 19:15	2000 6:12 9:1,6	5		
24:1,11 32:7	9:9 26:10,23	5 3:4 21:21		
33:3 34:1 36:7	29:14	50 21:25 34:2,5		
you-all 4:23	2000s 6:5,7	35:9,12,17		
you-an 4.23	2001 34:17,17	500 2:5		
$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$	35:4	508-6281 2:16		
zoom 1:12 22:3	2003 9:21 10:5			
	2010 22:25	6		
0	2013 9:22 10:6	6 22:5 23:15,22		
04-30-22 44:20	2016 9:1,9 29:14	60 24:13 25:7		
091 31:21	2017 8:12	40:22		
	202 34:13	61 29:8 30:4		
1	2021 1:12 44:17	61,000 29:6,15		
16:20	2152 19:22,24	62,000 29:9,10		
1,000 28:9	20:23 21:1	30:1,4		
1,500 22:23	24:9 25:11			
1000 2:5	223 44:23	7		
11,032 35:8,15	235 44:24	7 6:21 22:20		
11:00 1:12	243 14:11 16:18	72 27:4		
11:54 43:3	18:20 19:2,3,5	72-inch 25:21		
1120 2:10	25 25:8	26:3		
12 10:22	27.5 16:1	720 2:16		
13 1:12	28 25:8	73 42:4		
1300 2:15		76 26:7		
14 10:22	3	77027 44:24		
141 1:1 4:3	3 20:1 21:3,7	7739 6:18		
15 14:12	30 24:20 40:21	7th 2:15 44:17		
15th 7:21	3000 5:9,14	o		
1600 2:10	44:24	8		
161 31:1,2	303 2:11,21	8 30:25		
163 27:7,25	34 17:8 18:20,24	800-745-1101		
30:14	19:4	44:25		
165 27:12,23	35 16:18 17:7	80202 2:20		
28:3,25 29:5	24:2	80203 2:10,15		
166 27:16,21	36 26:3	8262 44:20		
28:2	36-inch 25:20	844-1375 2:21		
18th 2:20	37 3:5	861-1963 2:11		
19 28:22	370 2:20	9		
1903 33:5	39 23:23 24:8	930:14		
1938 33:8,12,20		91 8:8 14:2		
33:23,25 36:18	4	19:10 27:6		
1980s 36:7	4 21:23	916 2:6		
1990 33:9	40 23:19	95814 2:5		
		73014 4.3		